Adhesion, Layering, and Finishing of Resin Composite Restorations for Class II Cavity Preparations
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Abstract

The increasing knowledge of microhybrid composite materials has offered clinicians multiple restorative options. The use of products that guarantee a high adhesive capacity, isolation via rubber dam, and anatomic shaping with thin layering and adequate cyclic polymerization are the bases for a predictable result. Further, a finishing and polishing system that takes into account the superficial roughness and aesthetic characteristics of the restorative material is the final element of a restoration that has been fabricated following correct treatment guidelines. This article aims to provide a protocol for the management of direct resin composite restorations and to discuss the correct operative sequence, particularly for the restoration of Class II cavity preparations.

A direct restoration must restore the morphology and function of a healthy tooth. The most important functions of a restorative material are as follows: to guarantee a correct marginal seal with a good internal and external adaptation to the cavity, allow a conservative cavity preparation, last over time, show biocompatibility, and present an optimal esthetic integration.

The use of resin composites for restorations in posterior teeth has greatly increased in clinical practice over the last few years.\(^1,2\) A primary advantage of these materials is their esthetic qualities, which can satisfy even the highest patient demands.\(^1\)

However, resin composite materials can present some problems, such as polymerization shrinkage, postoperative sensitivity, water absorption, and inconsistent marginal adhesion with the presence of microleakage. To reduce or even eliminate the phenomena responsible for these negative effects, it is highly recommended to follow precise clinical rules and establish a strict working protocol.

In Black’s Class II cavity preparations, restorative factors arise that would not be present for a simple Class I cavity. This is a result of the missing interproximal walls and thus the lack of contact points with the adjacent tooth.

This article aims to provide a protocol for the general management of direct resin composite restorations, and also to demonstrate the correct operative sequence, particularly for the restoration of Class II cavities (Fig 1). The cavity preparation must be carried out with a conservative approach that accounts for the residual tooth structure, which may present excessive fragility and in such cases should be cut.\(^3,4\)

Adhesion

To obtain a predictable result, it is important to remember that adhesion to enamel is preferable, and thus it is important, if possible, to maintain the margins in the hard tissue. However, if the margins are in dentin, it will still be possible to achieve satisfactory adhesion\(^5-7\) as long as the biological width is not invaded and isolation via rubber dam is carried out.

Preferably, the isolation of the working field must cover the entire quadrant start-
Rubber dam should be placed even before preparing the cavity in the case of amalgam filling removal, proceeded by etching with 30% to 40% orthophosphoric acid (Ena Etch, Micerium or Ultra Etch, Ultradent) first at the enamel margins (Fig 4) and then at the dentin (Fig 5), and followed finally by abundant rinsing. The etching should last for an adequate but not excessive time; some authors report an average etching time of 15 seconds.

Generally, the etching time for enamel should not be excessive because it provides no advantages for subsequent bond strength; however, dentin should be carefully assessed, because the more the tubules are sclerotic the longer the contact time between the orthophosphoric acid and dentin should be.

To maintain a humid dentinal substrate (wet technique), a generous amount of primer is applied—in this case an alcoholic solvent (Optibond FL, Kerr)—and after air spraying, a resinous adhesive is carefully applied to dentin and enamel and then spread with a gentle air spray. This is followed by light polymerization for an adequate time (usually 30 seconds) (Fig 6).
Layering

To reduce the effects of polymerization shrinkage, resin composite layering can be performed using different techniques: horizontal, oblique, or three-sites. This protocol used the horizontal layering technique.

Resin composite polymerization is based on many factors. An important choice regards the light-curing unit, as not all of those available on the market are capable of guaranteeing satisfactory results. Factors such as whether halogen, xenon-plasma arc, or LED lamps are used can alter the results. Other important factors include the following: the wavelength of the emitted light (should be 450 to 550 nm), the intensity of the light (should be at least 450 to 650 mW/cm²), the exposure time, the distance from the tip of the light unit to the resin composite surface, and the shade of the resin composite. Additionally, considering the polymerization shrinkage of the resin composite, modern halogen lamps (Optilux 501, Kerr) may be preferable since they offer so-called soft-start curing (ie, incremental light-curing programs), which start at a low intensity of 100 to 250 mW/cm², thus allowing better resin composite adaptation at the cavity margins with minor areas of microleakage, before increasing to a standard intensity.

Various instruments can be used during layering, but a flat spatula (OP 33 DC, Deppele) is indispensable, as is a fine-tip spatula (DD1 to DD2, Suter Dental). Bristle brushes or rubber tips can also be used to spread the resin composite after shaping.

The horizontal layering technique is easy to carry out and meets the criteria for the proper management of resin composite material. The first step after adhesion is to transform the Class II cavity into a Class I cavity by reconstructing the interproximal walls. The use of a matrix is indispensable for this step, particularly a sectional matrix (Compositight, Garrison Dental Solutions) because it is easy to use and guarantees good results. In some cases, however, a circular matrix (Hawe Super Mat, Kerr) may be preferable, such as when the adjacent tooth is missing or if the extension of the cavity preparation also includes the palatal or buccal walls. The sectional matrix should be chosen in accordance with the dimension of the tooth to be restored. After it is curved and inserted, a wooden wedge

Fig 5 Dentin etching using orthophosphoric acid.

Fig 6 An alcohol solvent primer is applied along with a resinous adhesive.
is placed that presses on the matrix and thus on the walls of the two adjacent teeth. Finally, one or more rings should be inserted based on the number of walls to be restored (Fig 7). The walls are reconstructed in resin composite and polymerized, and then the ring(s) and matrix are removed. The wooden wedge can be left in place to avoid gingival bleeding and maintain the tooth position (Fig 8).

The first layer of restoration material should be a flow composite (Ena Flow, Micerium or Filtek Flow, 3M ESPE), which is applied to the cavity floor (Fig 9) to obtain a more elastic liner, followed by light curing. This is not a universally approved approach; however, if resin composite fluids are used it is advisable to consider the radiopacity, which should be at least 200% aluminum to successfully carry out differential diagno-
sis with a subsequent secondary filling. The layering must be done in small increments to reduce and compensate for the effect of polymerization shrinkage.\textsuperscript{25–27} Next, dentin-colored resin composite layers (A3 and A3.5; Enamel Plus, Micerium or Filtek Supreme, 3M ESPE) about 2 mm in thickness are applied and an initial anatomic shape is defined with a small occlusal minus (Fig 10). Once these layers have been polymerized, a dentinal composite with inferior chrome (A1) can be used to shape the marginal ridges and cusps (Fig 11). This

Fig 11  Layering with small increases of A1 composite on the ridges and cusps.

Fig 12  Small quantities of light-polymerizing super color are applied in the sulcus to better imitate the natural teeth.

Fig 13  Microhybrid composite enamel layering.

Fig 14  Final polymerization through a layer of glycerine to inhibit oxygen, which could impede complete conversion of the superficial composite.

Fig 15  Completed layering and curing before rubber dam removal.
is followed by polymerization. During dentin layering, small quantities of super color (Kolor Plus, Kerr) can be used in the sulcus and polymerized to better imitate the adjoining teeth (Fig 12). The resin composite layering must simulate the enamel and recreate the final morphology of the restoration (Fig 13) so that subsequent modifications will be minimal and only the finishing and polishing procedures remain. A layer of transparent glycerine gel (Shiny Airblock, Micerium) through which a final cycle of light polymerization is carried out is applied to the restoration to obtain complete conversion of unpolymerized resin surfaces (Figs 14 to 16).

**Finishing and polishing**

Finishing and polishing are absolutely fundamental steps of a resin composite restoration, as they limit the possible accumulation of plaque bacteria, prevent excessively quick aging, and provide brilliance and shine. A very important element, maybe even more so than the polishing system used, is the material used for the reconstruction. Microfilled resin composites, which were used in the past for anterior restorations, resist abrasion well and are suitable for finishing and polishing, but provide low modulus and low fracture toughness with a higher rate of marginal breakdown. Therefore, microhybrid materials are an excellent choice because of their ability to react to physical and mechanical stimuli while still showing suitable properties for finishing.

Rotating instruments must not be used to shape the restoration. Medium-grain (30 to 40 μ) or fine-grain (15 to 20 μ) diamond tips or carbide multiblade burs (nos. 8 to 30) can be used; however, the latter may create small gaps at the enamel-composite junction as a result of the hammering effect they produce on the surface of the restoration. In any case, the diamond tips and carbide burs should be used with water cooling and a high-speed handpiece.
Other useful instruments for finishing are flexible disks (Pop On XT, 3M ESPE) and abrasive strips (Sof Lex, 3M ESPE or Diamond Strip, Komet). In the interproximal zone, the use of diamond tips on a handpiece with an oscillating movement (61LRG, Kavo or Synea Profin, W&H) is effective.

For polishing, rubber tips of different hardnesses (progressing from the hardest to the softest) mounted on a handpiece are often used, as are brushes with silicon carbide polishing particles (Occlubrush, Kerr). Interestingly, however, some authors have suggested that hybrid resin composites polish well when treated with diamond paste and aluminum oxide paste.\textsuperscript{29,37}
This protocol suggests the use of silicone rubber tips (Shiny, Micerium) (Fig 17) on a low-speed handpiece, proceeded by the use of felt wheels on the same handpiece to apply 3-μ diamond paste (Shiny, Micerium) (Fig 18) followed by 1-μ diamond paste (Shiny, Micerium) (Fig 19). Finally, a goat-hair brush with 1-μ aluminum oxide paste (Shiny, Micerium) (Fig 20) should be used in the most convex areas. These materials and instruments can produce a superficial roughness that is more similar to a natural tooth (Figs 21 and 22) compared to other methods of finishing, which create major superficial roughness.\(^\text{15}\)
Conclusion

When shaping direct resin composite restorations, it is crucial to obtain proper adhesion to the hard tissues of the tooth using reliable adhesive systems and adequate clinical procedures. The layers of the material must be thin to partially compensate for the intrinsic shrinking effect. Initially, it is preferable to rebuild the missing interproximal walls with the help of a wooden wedge and matrix, thus transforming a Class II preparation into a Class I preparation. Once the restoration has been shaped, finishing and polishing must be performed to produce a good esthetic and mechanical outcome, ensure minimal morphologic adjustments, and obtain minimal superficial roughness with the use of silicon rubber tips and brushes and diamond and aluminum oxide paste.
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